REVIEW ARTICLE JPAR 2019

Journal of Pharmaceutical Advanced Research

(An International Multidisciplinary Peer Review Open Access monthly Journal)

Available online at: www.jparonline.com

Overview of process validation in pharmaceutical industries

Md. Semimul Akhtar*, PrashantKumar Sharma

Shri Ram MurtiSmarak College of Engineering & Technology (Pharmacy), Bareilly, U.P., India.

Received: 27.01.2019 Revised: 04.03.2019 Accepted: 11.03.2019 Published: 30.03.2019

ABSTRACT: In the ongoing time few empties in the pharmaceutical is developing at a quick rate. Quality affirmation works principally to screen the way that the quality capacity is being performed. Its job in process validation is promptly connected with its principle capacities. It plays out the tests that show the items substance consistency. It might likewise play out the measurable assessment of the test results to demonstrate that the procedure is reproducible. Quality confirmation starts the activity to discard the nonconforming item. It executes the assessment criteria and sets the particular for the item endorsement or dismissal. It investigates the item grumblings to figure out how compelling its test program has been in keeping the rejectable item from achieving the commercial center. Process validation is the procedure for enhancing the security and nature of the dose shape which is fabricated in the pharmaceutical business. Essentially, Process validation underlines the job of target measure and factual devices and investigations learning, recognition, and control of fluctuation and give confirmation on steady of value/gainful all through the life cycle of an item. The result from Process validation technique can be utilized to pass judgment on the quality and consistency of investigative outcome. The motivation behind this audit to cover the needof process validation , the guideline of process validation , kind of process validation , a period of process validation , a methodology for process validation . In this survey article we examined about the significance and technique of validation of the investigative system.

Corresponding author*
Mr. Md. Semimul Akhtar
Assistant Professor
Shri Ram MurtiSmarak College of Engineering & Technology (Pharmacy),
Bareilly, U.P., India.
Tel: +91-9997503387.
Mail ID: akhtar.mpharm@gmail.com

Keywords: Validation, Quality, GMP, FDA, WHO.

INTRODUCTIONS:

The idea of validation was first proposed by two Food and Drug Administration (FDA) authorities, Ted Byers and Bud Loftus, in the mid-1970's so as to enhance the quality of pharmaceuticals. The objective of the validation is to guarantee that quality is incorporated with the framework at each progression, and not simply tried for toward the end, thusly validation exercises will normally incorporate preparing on creation material and working methodology, preparing of individuals included and checking of the framework while underway. When

all is said in done, a whole procedure is approved and a specific article inside that procedure is checked. The directions likewise set out a desire that the diverse parts of the creation procedure are very much characterized and controlled, with the end goal that the consequences of that generation won't considerably change after some time. The key target of dose shape configuration is to accomplish an anticipated helpful reaction to a medication incorporated into a definition which is able to do expansive scale fabricate with reproducible item quality [1].

Process validation sets up the adaptability and limitations in the assembling procedure controls in the fulfillment of alluring traits in the medication item while anticipating unfortunate properties.

USFDA characterized process validation as "building up archived proof which gives a high level of affirmation that an explicit procedure will reliably create an item meeting its pre-decided particulars and quality attributes. Solid dosage forms tablets and cases. The manufacturing of solid dosage forms includes extensive powder handling. The powder must be mixed for consistency and changed over into the dose forms either through compression or encapsulation [2].

A tablet is a pharmaceutical dosage form. It contains a blend of dynamic substances and excipients, generally in powder frame, squeezed or compacted into a strong. The excipients can incorporate covers, glidants (flow aids) and lubricants to guarantee proficient tableting; disintegrates to advance tablet separation in the digestive tract; sweeteners or flavors to upgrade taste; and colors to make the tablets outwardly appealing [3].

European Commission - 2000 - Validation: "Recorded proof that the procedure, worked inside set up parameters, can perform successfully and reproducibly to deliver a restorative item meeting its foreordained details and quality traits [4].

As indicated by the US FDA in 1978, Process validation is characterized as the gathering and assessment of information, from the procedure configuration organize through business creation, which sets up logical proof that a procedure is prepared to do reliably conveying quality item [4].

The necessity of process validation shows up of the quality system (QS) regulation. The objective of a quality system is to reliably deliver items that are fit for their planned use. Process validation is a key component in guaranteeing that these standards and objective are met.

The United State Food and Drug Administration (USFDA) has proposed rules with the accompanying definition for process validation Process Validation is built up record proof which gives a high level of confirmation that an explicit procedure reliably delivers an item meeting its foreordained particular and quality traits [5].

Process validation definition, as indicated by the US FDA in 1978,"A validation manufacturing process is one which has been demonstrated to do what it indicates or is spoken to do. The confirmation of validation is acquired through the gathering and assessment of information, ideally, starting from the procedure improvement stage and proceeding with the creation stage. Validation essentially incorporates process capability (the capability of materials, hardware, framework, building, faculty), yet it additionally incorporates the control on the whole procedure for repeated batches or runs".

In 1987, the Process validation is building up reported proof which gives a high level of confirmation that an explicit procedure, (for example, the fabricate of pharmaceutical dosage forms) will reliably create an item meeting its foreordained details and quality attributes.

In 2008, the Process Validation is characterized as the accumulation and assessment of information, from the procedure configuration arrange all through generation, which builds up logical proof that a procedure is prepared to do reliably conveying quality items.

In 2011, The reconsidered direction additionally gives suggestions that mirror a portion of the objectives of FDA's drive substances Pharmaceuticals CGMPs for the 21st century – A Risk-Based Approach, especially with respect to the utilization of innovative advances in pharmaceutical manufacturing, and also usage of current hazard the board and quality devices and ideas [5].

As indicated by EMEA, In March 2012, Process validation can be characterized as archived proof that the procedure, worked inside set up parameters, can perform successfully and reproducibly to create a restorative item meeting its foreordained particulars and quality traits. Continuous process check (PCV) has been acquainted with cover an elective way to deal with process validation dependent on a consistent observing of assembling execution. This methodology depends on the information from item and process advancement examines and/or past assembling background. CPV might be appropriate to both a conventional and improved way to deal with pharmaceutical advancement.

It might utilize broad in-line, on-line or at-line checking and or controls to assess process execution. Process validation ought to affirm that the control methodology is adequate to help the procedure plan and nature of the item. The validation should cover every single made quality and all manufacturing sites utilized for creation of the marketed product [6].

European Commission:

In 1991 – Validation-"Demonstration of demonstrating, in agreement of GMPs that any process really prompts anticipated outcomes.

In 2000 - Archived proof that the procedure, worked inside set up Parameters, can perform successfully and reproducibly to create a Medicinal item meeting its foreordained determinations and quality characteristics [7].

ICH Definition:

Process Validation is the methods for guaranteeing and giving narrative proof that forms inside their predetermined plan parameters are able to do over and again and dependably creating a completed result of the required quality [8].

WHO Definition:

The archived demonstration of demonstrating that any methodology, process, hardware, material, movement or framework really prompts anticipated outcome [8].

Methods:

It is characterized as the built-up archived proof that a framework does what it implies to do depends on a pre-arranged convention. This validationis normally is done preceding dissemination both of another item or an item made under a revised manufacturing process. Performed on no less than three progressive creation sizes XS (Consecutive clusters) [9].

PROSPECTIVE VALIDATION:

In Prospective Validation, the validation convention is executed before the procedure is put into business use. Utilizing this characterized procedure a progression of clusters ought to be created. In principle, the quantity of process runs completed and perceptions made ought to be adequate to permit the typical degree of variety and patterns to be built up to give adequate information to assess [10].

Forthcomingvalidation ought to incorporate, however not be constrained to the accompanying:

- Finished item particulars for discharge.
- List of the gear/offices to be utilized (counting estimating, checking/recording hardware) together with its alignment status.
- This kind of validation action is ordinarily finished before the dispersion and closeout of the medication item.
- It is commonly viewed as adequate that three sequential bunches/keeps running inside the at long last concurred parameters, giving the result of the ideal quality would establish an appropriate validation of the procedure.
- It is favored that the validation bunches made ought to be of an indistinguishable size from the expected generation scale clumps. At the point when this isn't down to earth, a decreased cluster measure relating to in any event 10% of the planned group estimate for full-scale creation can be considered.
- Additional testing to be completed, with acknowledgment criteria and investigative validation, as proper.
- Methods for an account and assessing results.
- The short portrayal of the procedure.
- Summary of basic handling ventures to be explored.
- In the process, completed item detail for discharge.
- Sampling plan.
- Departmental obligations
- Proposed timetable
- The validation think about is to be recorded in the validation report, which ought to incorporate the accompanying:
- Batch investigative information
- Certificate of examination.

CONCURRENT PROCESS VALIDATION:

Building up reported proof that the procedure is in a condition of control amid the real usage of the procedure. This is ordinarily performed by leading in-process testing as well as observing basic activities amid the manufacture of every generation batch [11].

- This validation includes in-process checking of basic preparing steps and item testing.
- This creates and recorded proof to demonstrate that the generation procedure is in a condition of the control.
- In excellent conditions it might be worth not to finish avalidation program before routine creation begins.
- The choice to do simultaneous validation must be advocated, reported and affirmed by the approvedworkforce.

- Documentation necessities for simultaneous validation are equivalent to indicated for imminent validation.
- In-process checking of basic preparing steps and final result testing of current creation can give archived proof to demonstrate that the assembling procedure is in a condition of the control.

Some of the fundamental components for Retrospective Validation are:

- Batches fabricated for a characterized period (least of 10 last back to back bunches).
- A number of parcels discharged every year.
- Batch estimate/quality/producer/year/time frame.
- Master producing/bundling records.
- Current determinations for dynamic materials/completed items.
- List of process deviations, restorative activities and changes to assembling records.
- Data for soundness testing for a few clumps.

RETROSPECTIVE PROCESS VALIDATION:

It is characterized as the built-up reported proof that a framework does what it implies to do on the audit and investigation of authentic data. Review validation is satisfactory for entrenched procedures and will be unseemly where there have been ongoing changes in the creation of the item, working techniques or equipment.

"Valid in-process particulars for such qualities will be reliable with medication item last details and will be gotten from past satisfactory process normal and process inconstancy gauges where conceivable and controlled by the utilization of reasonable factual methodology where suitable [12].

- Gather the numerical information from the finished clump record and incorporate measure esteems, final result test results, and in-process information.
- Organize this information in a sequential grouping as indicated by bunch fabricating information, utilizing a spreadsheet organize.
- Include information from at any rate the last 20– 30 fabricated bunches for investigation. In the event that the quantity of bunches is under 20, incorporate all fabricated clusters and resolve to acquire the required number for investigation.
- Trim the information by wiping out test results from noncritical preparing steps and erase all unwarranted numerical data.
- Subject the resultant information to measurable investigation and assessment.
- Draw ends with regards to the condition of control of the assembling procedure dependent on the examination of review validation information.
- Issue a report of your discoveries (documented proof).
- Batch measure/quality/maker/year/time span
- Master fabricating/bundling records.
- Current particulars for dynamic materials/completed items.
- List of process deviations, remedial activities and changes to assembling records.
- Data for security testing for a few clumps.

REVALIDATION:

It is the redundancy of the validation process or part of it. This is done when there is any change or substitution in definition, hardware plan or site area, clump measure and on account of successive bunches that don't meet item details and is additionally done at explicit time interims in the event of no progressions [12].

- A portion of the progressions that require validation are as per the following:
- Changes in crude materials (physical properties, for example, thickness, consistency, molecule estimate appropriation and dampness and so forth that may influence the procedure or item).
- Changes in bundling material (essential compartment/conclusion framework)
- Changes all the while (e.g., blending time, drying temperatures and group measure)
- Changes in the gear (e.g., expansion of programmed discovery framework). Changes of hardware which include the substitution of gear on a "like for like" premise would not ordinarily require re-validation aside from this new gear must be qualified.
- Change in definition, system or nature of pharmaceuticals fixings.
- A major change of process parameters.
- Change in site.
- On the appearance of negative quality patterns.
- Changes in the plant/facility.

THE REGULATORY BASIS FOR PROCESS VALIDATION:

The idea of process validation from its beginnings in the mid-1970s through the administrative perspectives related with current great assembling practice (cGMP) directions and the application thereof to different logical, quality affirmation, pilot plant, creation, and sterile item and strong dose shapes contemplations.

The fundamental standards of value confirmation have as their objective the creation of articles that are fit for planned use. These standards might be expressed as pursues.

- Quality, Safety and adequacy must be planned and worked into the item.
- Quality can't be reviewed or tried intothe completed item.
- Each progression of the assembling procedure must be controlled to amplify the likelihood that the completed item meets all the quality and structure detail.

USFDA characterized process validation as "Building up reported proof, which gives a high level of confirmation that an explicit procedure will reliably create an item meeting its pre-decided details and quality characteristics" [13].

TECHNIQUE FOR INDUSTRIAL PROCESS VALIDATION OF SOLID DOSAGE FORMS:

The technique chose for process validation ought to be basic and clear.

The accompanying five points give a procedure for process validation:

- The utilization of various loads of crude materials ought to be incorporated. i.e., active medication substance and major excipients.
- Groups ought to be kept running in progression and on various days and movements (the last condition, if fitting).
- Clusters ought to be fabricated in the hardware and offices assigned for inevitable business generation.
- Basic process factors ought to be set inside their working extents and ought not to surpass their upper and lower control limits amid process task. Yield reactions ought to be well inside completed item determinations.
- Inability to meet the necessities of the Validation convention concerning process information and yield control ought to be exposed to process requalification and resulting revalidation following an exhaustive investigation of process information and formal talk by the validation group [14].

RULES FOR PROCESS VALIDATION OF SOLID DOSAGE FORMS:

Various variables ought to be viewed as when creating and approving strong measurements shapes. As a method for giving an expansive diagram of these validation criteria, the accompanying agenda/rule is accommodated tablets and dry-filled containers for consideration in an inside and out validation program. A portion of these unit tasks won't be appropriate for each strong measurements frame [15].

CONVENTION FOR PROCESS VALIDATION:

It is a composed arrangement which expresses that by what method will be the validation led including test parameters, item qualities, generation and bundling types of gear and the acknowledgment criteria. For the situation where the convention is adjusted or altered proper purposes behind such change must be archived [16].

- Protocol endorsement sheet.
- Table of substance.
- Objective and Scope.
- Validation group and duty.
- Steps for validation and acknowledgment criteria.
- Process validation plan.
- Evaluation of detailing fixings.
- Evaluation of dynamic crude material.
- Evaluation of hardware.
- Responsibility.
- Manufacturing process stream diagram.
- Product subtleties.
- Equipment detail.
- Critical process parameters.
- In-process determination.
- Sampling methodology and testing plan.
- Revalidation criteria.
- Change control.
- Deviations.
- Stability.
- Conclusion.
- Report and conclusion.

VALIDATION MASTER PLAN:

The validation all-inclusive strategy ought to give a review of the whole validation activity, its hierarchical structure, it's substance and arranging. The principal components of it being the rundown/stock of the things to be approved and the arranging plan. It ought not to rehash data recorded somewhere else but rather ought to allude to existing archives, for example, arrangement archives, SOPs and validation conventions and reports [17].

- The organization and substance ought to include:
- Introduction: validation strategy, degree, area and calendar.

- Organizational structure: staff obligations.
- Plant/process/item portrayal: balanced for considerations or prohibitions and degree of validation
- Specific process contemplations that are basic and those requiring additional consideration.
- List of items/forms/frameworks to be approved, outlined in a grid arrange, validation approach.
- Re-validation exercises, real status and future arranging.
- Key acknowledgment criteria.
- Documentation arranges.
- Reference to the required SOP's.
- Time designs of every validation task and sub-venture.

VALIDATION SAMPLING PROCEDURE AND ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA:

Avalidationplan will be explicit to be the necessity of validation kept running of a specific item. A point by point plan of inspecting method of tests which will be broken down/ observed amid the validation run will be delineated deliberately. The examining plan including testing focuses, number of tests and the recurrence of inspecting for each stage task will be chosen dependent on attributes of the item and deed or basic purposes of types of gear [18,19].

ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA AND INFERENCE:

The validation test and the outcomes acquired thereby will be examined against by the acknowledgment criteria of test or detail and the conformance to a similar will be talked about to help the validation action. Suggestions for breaking points, frequencies and move to be made in case of the cutoff points being surpassed will be indicated in the report together with proposals on the degree of checking and the in-process controls vital for routine creation [20].

FAILURE AND DEVIATION:

Any test amid process validation will explore to decide the instance of disappointment. Where the instance of disappointment isn't self-evident, it might valuable to us an examination technique to guarantee that all the conceivable territories of potential disappointment are secured. When the instance of the procedure validation disappointment has been recognized, the disappointment will group into the accompanying classifications.

Type I: where the disappointment can be ascribed to an event which isn't natural for the procedure for instance, a hardware disappointment crude material that it very well may be consented to finish the validation practice substituting another group for the one that fizzled. This examination and the consequent activity will be incorporated into the validation report.

Type II: where the disappointment might be a characteristic disappointment or where the examination is uncertain than the validation practice has fizzled. For this situation the validation terms choose and legitimize the game-plan to be taken, recording its support and suggestions [21].

STEPS FOR VALIDATION AND ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA (TABLET):

Decide the unit tasks expected to produce the tablets.

Mixing or Blending:

Materials that have comparable physical properties will be less demanding to shape a uniform blend or mix and won't isolate as promptly as materials with expansive contrasts [22].

The accompanying physical properties of the medication and excipients are a factor in making a uniform blend or mix:

- Bulk density.
- Particle shape.
- Particle size distribution.
- Surface area.

WET GRANULATION:

Wet granulation parameters to be considered amid improvement and validation are:

- Binder addition: Adding the fastener dry keeps away from the need to decide the ideal cover fixation and different produce for the folio arrangement.
- Binder concentration: The ideal cover fixation should be resolved for the detailing.
- Amount of folio arrangement/crushing solvent: Too much fastener or dissolvable arrangement will over wet the materials and draw out the drying time. The measure of fastener arrangement is identified with the folio focus.
- Binder arrangement/pulverizing dissolvable option rate: the cover arrangement or grinding dissolvable can be added to the materials.
- Mixing time: Granulations that are not blended sufficiently long can frame inadequate or powerless granules. These granules may have a poor stream and pressure properties.
- Granulation endpoint: a medication or excipient blend might be granulated by including a foreordained

measure of water (crushing arrangement) at a specific rate. The granulation is finished in the wake of blending for a set time after the water has been included [23].

WET MILLING:

Wet granules that have a wide tonal range can prompt wasteful drying (long drying occasions and somewhat dried expansive granules or irregularities).

Components to consider are:

Equipment size and limit: The factory ought to be sufficiently expansive to dump the whole cluster inside a sensible timeframe to limit fabricating time and keep the material from drying amid this task.

Screen estimate: The screen should be little enough to dump the material, yet not very little to cause intemperate warming of the factory, bringing about drying of the granulation.

Mill speed: The speed ought to be adequate to proficiently dump the material without stressing the gear.

Feed rate: The feed rate of the wet granulation is interrelated to screen size and plant size and speed [24].

DRYING:

The sort of drying system (e.g., plate, liquid bed, and microwave) required for the detailing should be resolved and advocated. The kind of procedure might be subject to such factors as medication or plan properties and gear accessibility. Changing dryer procedures could influence such tablet properties as hardness, crumbling, disintegration, and security [25].

MILLING:

The processing activity will lessen the molecule size of the dried granulation. The resultant molecule estimate dispersion will influence such material properties as stream, compressibility, deterioration, and disintegration [26].

TABLET COMPRESSION:

Compression is a basic advance in the creation of a tablet measurement shape. The materials being packed should have a satisfactory stream and pressure properties. The material ought to promptly spill out of the container onto the feed outline and into the bites the dust. The deficient stream can result in "rodent holing" in the container or potentially isolation of the mix in the container/feed outline.

Tooling: The shape, size, and concavity of the tooling ought to be inspected dependent on the detailing properties and business particulars.

Compression speed: The detailing ought to be compacted at a wide scope of pressure paces to decide the working scope of the blower

Compression/discharge constraints: The pressure profile for the tablet detailing should be resolved to set up the ideal pressure power to get the ideal tablet hardness [27].

The accompanying in-process tests ought to be inspected amid the pressure arranges:

- Appearance.
- Hardness.
- Tablet weight.
- Friability.
- Disintegration.
- Weight consistency.

TABLET COATING:

Tablet covering can happen by various procedures (e.g., sugar, film, or pressure). Film covering has been the most well-known method over the late years and will be the focal point of this area [28].

TABLET PROPERTIES:

Tablet properties, for example, hardness, shape, and intagliation (whenever required) are essential to get a decent film-covered tablet. The tablet should be sufficiently hard to withstand the covering procedure. In the event that tablet whittling down happens, the tablets will have an unpleasant surface appearance. For tablet shape, around tablet will be less demanding to coat than tablets will different sides or edges due to the consistency of the surface. For intagliated tablets, the intagliation style and profundity ought to be created to avoid fill-in or chipping of the intagliation [29].

Equipment type: The kind of coater should be chosen. Traditional or punctured skillet and liquid bed coaters are potential alternatives.

Coater stack: Having too expansive a skillet load could cause weakening of the tablets as a result of the general tablet load in the coater. On account of a liquid bed coater, there may not be adequate wind stream to fluidize the tablets.

Pan speed: This will be interrelated to other covering parameters, for example, channel temperature, splash rate, and stream rate.

Spray guns: The number and sorts of weapons ought to be resolved so as to effectively coat the tablets. The splash spouts ought to be estimated appropriately to guarantee even dispersion over the tablet bed and to avoid stopping up of the spouts. The area and edge of the

splash gun(s) ought to be situated to get sufficient inclusion. Having the firearms situated excessively near one another can prompt a segment of the tablets to be over yet [30].

Application/spray rate: The ideal application/splash rate ought to be resolved. Showering too quick will make the tablets wind up over wet, bringing about the clustering of tablets and conceivable disintegration of the tablet surface. Showering too gradually will make the covering materials dry preceding bond to the tablets. This will result in a harsh tablet surface and poor covering proficiency [30].

Tablet flows: The stream or development of the tablets in the coater ought to be inspected to guarantee legitimate stream. There ought to be adequate tablet bed development to guarantee even appropriation of the covering arrangement onto the tablets. The expansion of perplexes might be required to give satisfactory development of tablets to tablet covering.

Inlet/outlet temperature and airflow: These parameters are interrelated and ought to be set to guarantee that the atomized covering arrangement achieves the tablet surface and afterward is immediately dried [30].

Coating solution: The fixation and consistency of the covering arrangement should be resolved. The arrangement should be adequately weakened so as to shower the material on the tablets. The convergence of the covering arrangement will likewise decide the sum and volume of answertobeing connected to the tablets. The steadiness of the covering arrangement ought to be researched to set up its timeframe of realistic usability [30].

CONCLUSION:

Strong dose forms validation ought to be a piece of an extensive validation program inside an industry. The multidisciplinary validation group must distinguish the item and process attributes that must be examined and join explicit validation tests to guarantee that that item will meet all quality, fabricating, and administrative prerequisites.

Quality control is the piece of GMP, it is worried about the inspecting determination, testing and with associated documentation and discharge methods. Whereas confirmation of value is gotten from watchful thoughtfulness regarding various elements including choice of value materials, types of gear, satisfactory item, process structure, choice of endorsed merchants, appropriate GMP investigations, worker preparing, specialized review, a basic assessment of market objections, in-process control of procedures, and final result testing. Validation is the most broadly utilized word in the regions of medication advancement, assembling and determination of completed items.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT:

Authorwishes to thanks Shri Ram MurtiSmarak College of Engineering & Technology (Pharmacy), Bareilly, for providing a library facility to carry out this review study.

REFERENCES:

1. Aulton M E. Pharmaceutics, the science of dosage form design. International 2nd ed. Churchill Livingston: Elsevier; 2006. pp. 150-167
2. Michael L. Pharmaceutical Process Scale-Up. New York: Marcel Dekker, Inc; 2002. pp. 313-318.
3. Robert AN, Alfred HW. Pharmaceutical Process Validation. 3rd ed. Vol 129. New York: Marcel Dekker, Inc; 2003. pp. 159-180.
4. James A, Frederick JC. Validation of Pharmaceutical Processes. 3rd ed. New York: Informa Healthcare USA, Inc; 2008. pp. 403-416.
5. Herbert AL, Leon L, Joseph BS. Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms Tablets. 2nd ed. Vol 3. New York: Marcel Dekker. Inc; 1990. pp. 417-447.
6. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Guideline on General Principles of Process Validation. Rockville, MD: U.S. FDA; 1987.
7. Chapman KG. A history of validation in the United States, Part I. Pharm Tech, 1991; 15(10): 82-96.
8. Tetzlaff RF, Sheppard RE, LeBlanc AJ. The validation story, Perspectives on the systemic GMP inspection approach and validation development. Pharm Tech, 1993; 1: 100-116.
9. Chaitanyakumar G, Rout RP, Ramtake S, Bhattacharya S. Process Validation. The Indian pharmacist, 2005; Aug: 14-19.
10. Kathiresan K, Kiran K. Basics of Validation - Pharmaceutical Perspective. 1st ed. Chidambaram: K.K. Publishers; 2005. pp. 32-46.
11. Agalloco J. Validation: an unconventional review and reinvention. PDA J Pharm Sci Tech, 1995; 49: 175-179.
12. Aleem H, Zhao Y, Lord S, McCarthy T, Sharratt P. Pharmaceutical process validation: an overview. J Proc Mech Eng, 2003; 217: 141-151.
13. Lambert J. Validation Guidelines for Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms. Health Canada / Health Products and Food Branch Inspectorate, 2004; 7-15.

14. Lingnau J. Optimization and Validation of Manufacturing Processes. Drug DevInd Pharm, 1989; 15: 1029-1046.
15. Nash RA, Wachter AH. Pharmaceutical Process Validation. 3rd ed. New York: Marcel Dekkar Inc; 2003. pp. 760-792.
16. James A, Frederick JC. Validation of Pharmaceutical Processes. 3rd ed. New York: Informa Healthcare USA, Inc; 2008.
17. Guidance for Industry: Process Validation: General Principles and Practices. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Centre for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER), Centre for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER), Centre for Veterinary Medicine (CVM), January 2011.
18. Oechslein C, Lazar MS. Process Validation from view report of the FDA. Logfile No. 3: Maas &Peither AG – GMP Publishing; 2012.
19. Quality Management System – Process Validation Guidance GHTF/SG3/N99-10. 2nd ed; 2004.
20. FDA Guidance Update: process Validation: General Principles and Practices; version 01; 2009.
21. Guide to Inspections of Oral Solid Dosage Forms Pre/post Approval Issue for Development and Validation; issue (1/94); January, 2010.
22. Kathiresan K, Moorthi C, Prathyusha Y, Gade BR, Reddy BK, Manavalan R. An overview of pharmaceutical validation. Res J Pharm Biol Chem Sci, 2010; 1(4): 1026-1030.
23. Dashora K, Singh D, Saraf S. Validation - the Essential Quality Assurance Tool for Pharma Industries. Pharminfo, 2005; 3: 45-47.
24. Pharmaceutical Inspection Convention Draft Document, Recommendation on Validation Master Plan, Installation and Operational Qualification. Non Sterile Process Validation and Cleaning Validation; 1998.
25. World Health Organization. Committee on specifications for pharmaceutical preparations, GMP for pharmaceutical products. Geneva: WHO Tech Report. 82; 2002. pp. 14-79.
26. Lambert J. Validation Guidelines for Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms. Health Canada / Health Products and Food Branch Inspectorate; 2004. pp. 7-15.
27. Good Manufacturing Practice for Pharmaceutical Products. Main Principals, In WHO Expert Committee on specifications for Pharmaceutical Operations. 40 Report Geneva. Geneva: WHO; 2006.
28. Guidance for Industry, Process Validation, General Principles and Practices. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER), Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER), Center for Veterinary Medicine (CVM); November 2011.
29. Glossary of Quality system and Development Technology. Division of Field Investigation's office of Regional Operations, Office of Regulatory Affairs, FDA; 1995.
30. Gupta GD, Garg R, Aggarwal S. Guidelines on General Principles of Validation: Solid, Liquid and Sterile dosage forms. Pharm Sci Tech, 2008; 6: 28-33.

Conflict of Interest: None
Source of Funding: Nil
Paper Citation: Akhtar S, Sharma PK. Overview of process validation in pharmaceutical industries. J Pharm Adv Res, 2019; 2(2): 489-497.